New Zealand is not included in the overall ranking, as insufficient survey responses were obtained to calculate the qualitative indicators that make up the index.

New Zealand’s overall scores in the HRI’s quantitative indicators were below the OECD/DAC and Group 3 averages. New Zealand scored below the OECD/DAC and Group 3 averages in all pillars, with the exception of Pillar 2 and Pillar 4 (Protection and international law), where it scored above the OECD/DAC and Group 3 averages.

New Zealand did best compared to its OECD/DAC peers in the indicators on Funding reconstruction and prevention, Un-earmarked funding and Human rights law. Its scores were relatively the lowest in indicators on Funding NGOs, Funding accountability initiatives, Timely funding to complex emergencies, Funding UN and RC/RC appeals and Reducing climate-related vulnerability.

SOURCES: UN OCHA FTS, OECD StatExtracts, various UN agencies’ annual reports and DARA

All scores are on a scale of 0 to 10. Colours represent performance compared to OECD/DAC donors’ average performance rating:
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