


AUSTRIA






OFFICIAL DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE
0.32%
 of GNI

HUMANITARIAN AID
4.2%
 of ODA

US \$6
 Per person

HUMANITARIAN AID DISTRIBUTION (%)



GENDER RATING

POLICY →

FUNDING →

FIELD PERCEPTION N/A

STRENGTHS

Pillar	Type	Indicator	Score	% above OECD/DAC average
5	QT	Funding and commissioning evaluations	8.89	+114.7%
1	QT	Timely funding to complex emergencies	9.57	+20.9%
1	QT	Timely funding to sudden onset emergencies	9.61	+19.3%

AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT

Pillar	Type	Indicator	Score	% below OECD/DAC average
5	QT	Participating in accountability initiatives	0.00	-100.00%
3	QT	Funding NGOs	0.76	-83.3%
5	QT	Funding accountability initiatives	1.08	-73.6%
3	QT	Un-earmarked funding	1.50	-71.1%
3	QT	Funding UN and RC/RC appeals	1.58	-61.3%

OVERALL PERFORMANCE

Austria is not included in the overall ranking, as insufficient survey responses were obtained to calculate the qualitative indicators that make up the index.

Austria's overall scores in the HRI's quantitative indicators were below both the OECD/DAC and Group 2 averages. Austria scored below the OECD/DAC and Group 2 averages in all pillars, with the exception of Pillar 1 (Responding to needs), where the average of its quantitative scores placed it above both the OECD/DAC and Group

2 averages. It received its lowest score by far in Pillar 3 (Working with humanitarian partners).

Austria did best compared to its OECD/DAC peers in indicators on *Funding and commissioning evaluations*, *Timely funding to complex emergencies* and *Timely funding to sudden onset emergencies*. Its scores were relatively the lowest in the indicators on *Participating in accountability initiatives*, *Funding NGOs*, *Funding accountability initiatives*, *Un-earmarked funding* and *Funding UN and RC/RC appeals*.