


# SWEDEN

HRI 2011  
Ranking  
**3rd**






Group **1**  
PRINCIPLED  
PARTNERS

OFFICIAL  
DEVELOPMENT  
ASSISTANCE **0.97%**  
of GNI

HUMANITARIAN  
AID **12.7%**  
of ODA

**US \$61**  
Per person

## HUMANITARIAN AID DISTRIBUTION (%)



## GENDER RATING

POLICY **↑**

FUNDING **↑**

FIELD PERCEPTION **↑**

### STRENGTHS

Pillar	Type	Indicator	Score	% above OECD/DAC average
2	QT	Reducing climate-related vulnerability	9.91	+146.0%
3	QT	Funding UN and RC/RC appeals	10.00	+145.9%
5	QT	Funding accountability initiatives	8.25	+100.6%
2	QT	Funding international risk mitigation	9.00	+88.2%
4	QT	Refugee law	10.00	+77.8%

### AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT

Pillar	Type	Indicator	Score	% below OECD/DAC average
2	QT	Funding reconstruction and prevention	1.79	-60.2%
3	QT	Funding NGOs	3.98	-12.2%
1	QT	Timely funding to complex emergencies	7.18	-9.2%
3	QT	Un-earmarked funding	4.75	-8.5%
5	QL	Appropriate reporting requirements	6.82	-3.7%

## OVERALL PERFORMANCE

Sweden ranked 3<sup>rd</sup> in the HRI 2011, improving two positions from 2010. Based on the pattern of its scores, Sweden is classified as a Group 1 donor, "Principled Partners". This group is characterised by its commitment to humanitarian principles and strong support for multilateral partners, and generally good overall performance in all areas. Other Group 1 donors include Denmark, Finland, the Netherlands, Norway and Switzerland.

Sweden's overall score was above the OECD/DAC and Group 1 averages. It scored above both average in all pillars, with the

exception of Pillar 3 (Working with humanitarian partners), where it scored above the OECD/DAC average, but below the Group 1 average.

Compared to its OECD/DAC peers, Sweden did best in the indicators on *Reducing climate-related vulnerability*, *Funding UN and RC/RC appeals*, *Funding accountability initiatives*, *Funding international risk mitigation* and *Refugee law*. Its scores were relatively lower in indicators on *Funding reconstruction and prevention*, *Funding NGOs*, *Timely funding to complex emergencies*, *Un-earmarked funding* and *Appropriate reporting requirements*.