


PORTUGAL




OFFICIAL DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE
0.29%
 of GNI

HUMANITARIAN AID
2.8%
 of ODA

US \$2
 Per person

HUMANITARIAN AID DISTRIBUTION (%)



GENDER RATING POLICY → **FUNDING** N/A **FIELD PERCEPTION** N/A

STRENGTHS		Score	% above OECD/DAC average
3	QT Un-earmarked funding	10.00	+92.9%
1	QT Timely funding to sudden onset emergencies	9.28	+79.1%

AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT		Score	% below OECD/DAC average
3	QT Funding accountability initiatives	0.00	-100.00%
5	QT Funding UN and RC/RC appeals	0.07	-98.2%
5	QT Participating in accountability initiatives	0.14	-96.9%
3	QT Funding NGOs	1.28	-71.8%
2	QT Funding international risk mitigation	1.37	-71.4%

OVERALL PERFORMANCE

Portugal is not included in the overall ranking as insufficient survey responses were obtained to calculate the qualitative indicators that make up the index.

Portugal's overall score was below the OECD/DAC and Group 3 averages. Portugal also scored below both averages in all pillars.

Portugal did best compared to its OECD/DAC peers in the indicators on *Un-earmarked funding* and *Timely funding to sudden onset emergencies*. Its scores were relatively the lowest in the indicators on *Funding accountability initiatives*, *Funding UN and RC/RC appeals*, *Participating in accountability initiatives*, *Funding NGOs* and *Funding international risk mitigation*.

SOURCES: UN OCHA FTS, OECD StatExtracts, various UN agencies' annual reports and DARA

All scores are on a scale of 0 to 10. Colours represent performance compared to OECD/DAC donors' average performance rating:
 Good (Green), Mid-range (Yellow), Could improve (Red), N/A (Grey), QT Quantitative Indicator, QL Qualitative Indicator